





ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

788 A 8: 47

DATE:

JANUARY 28, 2010

DOCKET NO .:

S-20654A-09-0068

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Marc E. Stern. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Opinion and Order on:

STEVE JOHN ROGAN; CAROL ANN RICHEY; DEM BONZ BARBEQUE RESTAURANTS, L.L.C.; and PIZAZZ, L.L.C. (CEASE AND DESIST)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and thirteen (13) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

JANUARY 28, 2010

The enclosed is **NOT** an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has tentatively been scheduled for the Commission's Open Meeting to be held on:

FEBRUARY 18, 2010

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602) 542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250. For information about the Open Meeting, contact the Executive Director's Office at (602) 542-3931.

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

JAN 28 2010

DOCKETED BY

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347 www.azcc.gov

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 COMMISSIONERS 3 KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman **GARY PIERCE** PAUL NEWMAN SANDRA D. KENNEDY **BOB STUMP** 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NO. S-20654A-09-0068 8 STEVE JOHN ROGAN, a married man. 9 CAROL ANN RICHEY, a married woman, DECISION NO. 10 DEM BONZ BARBEQUE RESTAURANTS, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability company, 11 PIZAZZ, L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability 12 company, 13 RESPONDENTS. **OPINION AND ORDER** 14 DATE OF PRE-HEARING: March 23, 2009 15 DATE OF HEARING: July 14, 2009 16 PLACE OF HEARING: Phoenix, Arizona 17 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Marc E. Stern 18 APPEARANCES: Mr. Steve John Rogan, in propria persona; 19 Ms. Carol Ann Richey, in propria persona; and 20 Mr. William Black, Staff Attorney on behalf of the Securities Division of the Arizona Corporation 21 Commission. 22 BY THE COMMISSION: 23 On February 18, 2009, the Securities Division ("Division") of the Arizona Corporation 24 Commission ("Commission") filed a Temporary Order to Cease and Desist ("T.O.") and Notice of 25 Opportunity for Hearing ("Notice") against Steve John Rogan, Carol Ann Richey, husband and wife, 26

Dem Bonz Barbeque Restaurants, L.L.C. ("Dem Bonz") and Pizazz, L.L.C. ("Pizazz") (collectively

"Respondents"), in which the Division alleged multiple violations of the Arizona Securities Act

27

28

("Act") in connection with the offer and sale of securities in the form of notes and/or investment contracts.

The Respondents were duly served with a copy of the T.O. and Notice.

On February 24, 2009, a request for hearing was filed by the Respondents.

On February 27, 2009, by Procedural Order, a pre-hearing conference was scheduled on March 23, 2009.

On March 24, 2009, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled on July 14, 2009, and other procedural matters addressed.

On July 14, 2009, a full public hearing was commenced before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge of the Commission at its offices in Phoenix, Arizona. The Division was present with counsel. Respondents appeared on their own behalf. Following the presentation of evidence, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

On August 28, September 2, 14 and 18, 2009, closing memorandum were filed by the parties.

* * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises; the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Steve John Rogan is an individual who, at all relevant times herein, was a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 2. Carol Ann Richey is an individual who, at all relevant times herein, was a resident of Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 3. Mr. Rogan and Ms. Richey were husband and wife at all relevant times herein and were acting for their own benefit and for the benefit or in furtherance of their marital community.
- 4. According to Commission records, Dem Bonz is an Arizona limited liability company formed on or about June 27, 2008, and maintains a mailing address of 8912 E. Pinnacle Peak Road, No. 174, Scottsdale, Arizona 85255. Mr. Rogan and Ms. Richey were the only members of Dem Bonz when it was formed. (Ex. S-8a)

- 5. Commission records show that Pizazz is an Arizona limited liability company formed on or about December 4, 2008, with a mailing address of 8912 E. Pinnacle Peak Road, No. 174, Scottsdale, Arizona 85255. These records show, at all relevant times herein, Ms. Richey was the Statutory Agent and sole member of Pizazz. (Ex. S-7)
- 6. On January 27, 2009, Ms. Richey filed with the Commission an article of amendment for Dem Bonz which, effective January 21, 2009, replaced Mr. Rogan and Ms. Richey as the members of Dem Bonz and made Pizazz the sole member of Dem Bonz. (Ex. S-8b)
- 7. In support of the allegations raised in the T.O. and Notice with respect to Respondents' alleged violations of the Act, the Division called as its witness, Mr. Michael J. Rice, a special investigator with the Division.
- 8. The Division's investigation was initiated from what is termed an "ad shopping case." These cases are begun by an investigator who reviews newspaper clippings, classified ads and internet websites such as Craigslist. The initial investigator then assigns them to other investigators for further investigation. (T. at p. 13)
- 9. The Respondents' ad appeared on Craigslist on or about January 22, 2009, and it was assigned to Mr. Rice for further investigation and he was first given a copy of the ad on January 27, 2009. Respondents' ad stated in bold print and capital letters that they were seeking a "FLAGSHIP FRANCHISE INVESTOR NEEDED, 48% ROI!!!! (Scottsdale)". (Ex. S-10a)
- 10. A number of additional Craigslist ads also appeared in Respondents' online advertising seeking an investor until at least February 19, 2009. (Ex. S-10a thru S-10k).
- 11. These ads represented to readers of Craigslist that Respondents were seeking a so-called "franchise investor" to invest \$85,000 "for initial operating capital and reserves" in a restaurant in Scottsdale, Arizona. The Craigslist ads further represented that Respondents had purportedly invested over \$150,000 for the development of a barbeque restaurant. (Tr. at p.16 and 17)
- 12. The Craigslist ads also referenced a website, <u>www.dembonzbbq.com</u> indicating that a 19 page business plan was available for a prospective investor to review. They further touted a 48 percent return on investment and plans for expansion. (Ex. S-10a thru S-10k)
 - 13. In order to respond, readers of the ads were directed to email

dembonzbbq@gmail.com.

- 14. Mr. Rice testified that after seeing the first Craigslist ad dated January 22, 2009, he viewed the Dem Bonz website and then printed it out as an 11 page document. (Tr. at p. 19) The first page of the document for the Dem Bonz website references hickory smoked bbq and teriyaki and refer to an investors page and also a section for an investor to log in or out of that portion of the website. (Ex. S-11)
- 15. The website for Dem Bonz provides information with respect to the restaurant's menu, catering, hours, and location and also contains a phone number for the restaurant, giving the appearance that the restaurant is open. A section of the website is devoted to Respondent Rogan who is described as the chef of Dem Bonz and the creator of the restaurant's concept in 1991 in southern California with his plans to "do it again in Scottsdale." (Ex. S-11)
- 16. From reviewing the website, Mr. Rice had the impression that Dem Bonz was a going business.
- 17. After learning of the purported restaurant's location, 14144 North 100th Street in Scottsdale, Arizona, Mr. Rice drove to the location. He expected to see a completed restaurant since it was represented that \$150,000 had already been expended on the development of the project. However, when Mr. Rice arrived at the location, he "found a vacant suite." (Tr. at p. 21)
- 18. Mr. Rice testified that the address of the purported restaurant was located in a small strip center and that although the space was vacant, it appeared to have had a restaurant previously located there. Nevertheless, it was no longer in business. (Tr. at p. 21)
- 19. Testifying further concerning the condition of the vacant space, Mr. Rice stated "There was very minimal furnishings... one bench-style seat... There were no tables or chairs or nothing like that." (Tr. at p. 21)
- 20. Shortly after visiting the restaurant site, Mr. Rice used an undercover name and email account as a prospective investor to send an email to dembonzbbq@gmail.com which was the email address provided in the Craigslist ad. Acting as the prospective investor, Mr. Rice requested a copy of the business plan for Dem Bonz. (Ex. S-6a)
 - 21. Approximately 40 minutes later, on January 27, 2009, Mr. Rice received a return

email from Respondent Rogan. Mr. Rogan indicated that he had the buildout already complete and needed an "Angel" investor to participate for a "48% ROI." Mr. Rogan's email went on to stated that he "should be open in a few weeks" and that he still had an opening for an "additional investor." (Ex. S-6a) (Tr. at p. 35)

- 22. Over a period of several days, Mr. Rice exchanged emails with Respondent Rogan.
- 23. Based on Mr. Rogan's earlier representation, Mr. Rice believed that someone had already invested in the offering. (Tr. at p. 25)
- 24. Respondent Rogan's first email response on January 27, 2009, included an attachment consisting of a 19 page document representing the "Business Plan" for Dem Bonz. The Business Plan featured Mr. Rogan's name prominently on its first page. (Ex. S-3)
- 25. Mr. Rice reviewed the business plan document and referred to a photograph which appeared beneath a caption at page four purportedly representing "Dem Bonz Today." He testified that the restaurant represented in the photograph was not representative of what he had seen on January 27th when he visited the restaurant's purported location and viewed what was primarily an empty room. (Tr. at p. 30)
- 26. Mr. Rice recalled that the photograph in the business plan shows tables and chairs, paintings on the wall, other décor and various restaurant equipment, and was not representative of what he saw when he viewed the purported restaurant site in Scottsdale. He stated, "There was nothing there." (Tr. at p. 31)
- 27. The business plan identifies both Respondent Rogan and Respondent Richey as the founder/chef and co-founder/director of marketing, respectively, of Dem Bonz. Additionally, according to the business plan, it is represented that the "founders have invested over \$150,000 into the venture." (Ex. S-3)
- 28. According to the Dem Bonz business plan, of the \$85,000 which Respondents were seeking from an investor, approximately \$50,000 would be set aside for interest payments and reserves for working capital. The remaining funds would be expended on equipment and operating expenses. The documents specified that an investor would receive a guaranteed minimum return of 15 percent per year interest on the declining balance of the loan plus an additional return of 15

percent of the company's net profit after taxes during the expected four year term of the proposed loan. The document specified that either a floating debenture on the company's equipment and fixtures as well as the promissory note for the loan would be guaranteed by the founders to ensure repayment to the investor.

- 29. Based on Mr. Rogan's representations, Mr. Rice was led to believe that the equipment, including a walk-in freezer, was in place for the business. (Tr. at p. 33)
- 30. Mr. Rice described contacting a representative of the management company for the strip center where Dem Bonz was to be located to learn whether anyone was operating a business and if the property was under lease. The representative of the property management company advised Mr. Rice that although a lease had been offered to Respondents for Dem Bonz, they probably would not lease to them. (Tr. at p. 27 and 28).
- 31. Both the Respondents' business plan and a representation by Respondent Rogan in one of his emails to Mr. Rice indicated that an investor could expect to receive a 48 percent return on his investment. (Ex. S-3 and S-6A)
- 32. After speaking with Respondent Rogan, Mr. Rice was told to contact Ralph Richey, who according to the business plan for Dem Bonz, was the controller for Dem Bonz and who Mr. Rogan also referred to as his "controller." Mr. Rogan indicated that Mr. Richey would send him a copy of the promissory note. (Tr. at p. 38)
- 33. On February 9, 2009, it was actually Respondent Rogan who sent Mr. Rice an email which contained an attachment consisting of the promissory note. (Tr. at p. 39) (Ex. S-4)
- 34. Mr. Rice testified that the maker of the note was set forth as Dem Bonz Barbeque Restaurants, LLC by Carol Richey, managing member.² Additionally, Respondent Rogan and Respondent Richey are identified as guarantors. (Tr. at p. 39)
- 35. The specimen note set forth an interest rate of 15 percent per year, consistent with that promoted in the business plan. (Tr. at p. 40) However, the original Craigslist ad promoting the

² However, at this point in time, Pizazz was the sole member of Dem Bonz and Ms. Richey was the sole member of Pizazz. (Ex. S-8b)

¹ Mr. Richey is Ms. Richey's ex-husband, and had been named as the Statutory Agent for Dem Bonz in its Articles of Incorporation. (Ex. S-8a).

offering and an email from Mr. Rogan represented that an investor would earn a 48 percent return on his investment. (Tr. at p. 40)

- 36. Further, while it was indicated in the business plan that an investor would have his investment secured by an interest in restaurant equipment, there is no indication in the note of any security interest being offered. (Tr. at p. 41)
- 37. Based on the terms of the note, an investor's return is related to the success of the restaurant, because a higher rate of return results if the restaurant makes a profit after taxes. (Tr. at p. 41)
- 38. On February 19, 2009, after Mr. Rice personally served the T.O. and Notice on Respondents, Mr. Rogan sent him a derogatory email. (Ex. S-6d)
- 39. However, Respondents complied with the T.O. and Notice after they were served by removing their investment opportunity in Dem Bonz listing on Craigslist. (Tr. at p. 44)
- 40. At no time was Mr. Rice informed that Mr. Rogan was no longer a member of Dem Bonz as of January 27, 2009. (Tr. at p. 47)
- 41. Mr. Rice's investigation showed that during Mr. Rogan had been employed as a securities sales person, according to Central Registration Depository system, but he had been terminated by his employer in June 1999. (Ex. S-9)
- 42. Based on bank records, in July 2008, Mr. Rogan and Ms. Richey opened a business checking account in the name of Dem Bonz. However, an addendum to the bank's records indicates that on January 26, 2009, Mr. Rogan's name was deleted, and Ms. Richey became the only authorized signer on the account. (Ex. S-12)
- 43. According to the bank's records, the initial deposit made into the Dem Bonz account was \$100 in July 2008. (Ex. S-12) Mr. Rice testified that there was no evidence that \$150,000 had ever been deposited into the account and expended on behalf of Dem Bonz. (Tr. at p. 55)
- 44. Mr. Rice further testified that when he reviewed the business plan for Dem Bonz he "took it as an investment offer" basing his opinion on the fact that he was being offered a 15 percent a year rate of return on his investment plus the additional offer of another 15 percent of the Company's net profits. (Tr. at p. 63)

- 45. During Mr. Rogan's cross-examination of Mr. Rice, Mr. Rogan attempted to argue that he was seeking a lender rather than an "investor" to contribute to the start up of Dem Bonz. However, Mr. Rice pointed out that the Dem Bonz website contained a section for an investor to log in/out. (Ex. S-11)
- 46. Mr. Rice testified that he found no evidence that anyone actually invested in Dem Bonz. (Tr. at p. 84)
- 47. Based on Mr. Rogan's representations, Mr. Rice was led to believe that Mr. Rogan was the key principal of Dem Bonz. (Tr. at p. 88)
- 48. Mr. Rogan testified on behalf of the Respondents at the hearing. Mr. Rogan testified that he is still planning to open Dem Bonz, but he has not yet obtained a lease for the restaurant nor invested \$150,000. (Tr. at p. 90)
- 49. Mr. Rogan admitted that without an \$85,000 investment, Dem Bonz would not have any equipment. (Tr. at p. 95)
- 50. Mr. Rogan further acknowledged that a reasonable investor would assume he was the "owner" of the business, but argued that he was "set up." (Tr. at p. 96)
- 51. Mr. Rogan admitted that the business plan contemplated using a promissory note as a vehicle for an investment. (Tr. at p. 102)
- 52. While the Craigslist advertisement for an investor was removed after the Division's T.O. and Notice was served, Mr. Rogan admitted that the Dem Bonz website was still in operation at the time of the hearing. (Tr. at p. 105)
- 53. Upon our review of the entire record in this matter, a preponderance of the evidence establishes that Respondents committed multiple violations of the Act by offering a security in the form of a promissory note in a fraudulent manner. It is to the Division's credit that no investors were injured as a result of the Respondents' actions.
- 54. Respondents presented no evidence to credibly rebut the evidence presented by the Division and the Dem Bonz website was not removed from the internet upon the service of the T.O. and Notice. Therefore, they should be held liable for their untenable offering which resulted in their violations of the Act and pay an administrative penalty.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §44-1801, et seq.
- 2. The investment in the form of a note offered by Respondents is a security within the meaning of A.R.S. §44-1801.
- 3. The security was neither registered nor exempt from registration, in violation of A.R.S. §44-1841.
- 4. Respondents acted as dealers and/or salesmen within the meaning of A.R.S. §44-1801(9)(22).
- 5. The actions and conduct of Respondents constitute the offer of securities within the meaning of A.R.S. §44-1801(15).
- 6. Respondents offered an unregistered security within or from Arizona in violation of A.R.S. §44-1841.
- 7. Respondents offered a security within or from Arizona without being registered as a dealer and/or salesman in violation of A.R.S. §44-1842.
- 8. Respondents committed fraud in the offer of an unregistered security, engaging in transactions, practices or a course of business which involved untrue statements and omissions of material facts in violation of A.R.S. §44-1991.
- 9. Respondents have violated the Act and should cease and desist pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2032 from any future violations of the A.R.S. §§ 44-1841, 44-1842, and 44-1991 and all other provisions of the Act.
- 10. The actions and conduct of Respondents constitute multiple violations of the Act and are grounds for an Order assessing administrative penalties pursuant to A.R.S. §44-2036.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under A.R.S. §44-2032, Respondents shall cease and desist from their actions described hereinabove in violation of A.R.S. §§44-1841, 44-1842 and 44-1991.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under

A.R.S. §44-2036, Respondents jointly and severally, shall pay as administrative penalties: for the violation of A.R.S. §44-1841, the sum of \$500.00; for the violation of A.R.S. §44-1842, the sum of \$500.00; and for the violation of A.R.S. §44-1991, the sum of \$1,000.00, for a total of \$2,000.00.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the authority granted to the Commission under A.R.S. §44-2036, that Respondents jointly and severally, shall pay the administrative penalty ordered hereinabove in the amount of \$2,000.00 payable by either cashier's check or money order, payable to the "State of Arizona" and presented to the Arizona Corporation Commission for deposit in the general fund for the State of Arizona.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondents fail to pay the administrative penalty ordered hereinabove, any outstanding balance plus interest at the maximum level amount may be deemed in default and shall be immediately due and payable, without further notice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if any of the Respondents fail to comply with this Order, any outstanding balance shall be in default and shall be immediately due and payable without notice or demand. The acceptance of any partial or late payment by the Commission is not a waiver of default by the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the default shall render Respondents liable to the Commission for its cost of collection and interest at the maximum legal rate.

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if any of the Respondents fail to comply with this Order,			
2	the Commission may bring further legal proceedings against the Respondent(s), including application			
3	to the Superior Court for an Order of Contempt.			
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.			
5	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.			
6				
7	7			
8	8 CHAIRMAN COMMISS	IONER		
9	9			
10	0			
11	1 COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISS	IONER		
12		NSON		
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, ERNEST G. JOHNSON Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the			
14	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Pi	hoenix,		
15				
16				
17	ERNEST G. JOHNSON			
18				
19				
20 21	DISSENT			
22				
23				
24	MES:db			
25				
26				
27				
28				
g	R			

1 2	SERVICE LIST FOR:	STEVE JOHN ROGAN, CAROL ANN RICHEY, DEM BONZ BARBEQUE RESTAURANTS, L.L.C., and PIZAZZ, L.L.C.	
3	DOCKET NO.:	S-20654A-09-0068	
4 5 6 7	Steve John Rogan Carol Ann Richey 8912 East Pinnacle Peak Road, No. 174 Scottsdale, AZ 85255 Matt Neubert, Director		
, 8 9	Securities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1300 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			